

The ADS-B Link Decision Workshop Session B: User Breakout Session Preliminary Results

June 26, 2001

Facilitator: Col. Allan Overbey, USAF



Topics Discussed

- Applications discussed in more detail
 - OEP ADS-B related applications as "baseline"
 - Additional SF21 applications to consider
- Reviewed examples of equipage assumptions
 - Factors affecting results in most recent cost/benefit analysis
- Reviewed desired user inputs
- Collecting user inputs via worksheets (in progress)
 - Application priorities
 - User questionnaire: future plans, expectations, constraints
- Additional Discussions
 - AOPA perspective
 - DoD perspective



Preliminary Results - Points Raised

- Cost to implement GPS and cockpit display in air carriers may (far) outweigh cost differences among ADS-B link options
- AOPA identified weather, NAS status, traffic display in cockpit as priorities for GA
 - Implementation costs, fee-for-service, anonymous operation still concerns
 - Identified UAT as their preferred link
- DoD prefers 1090 extended squitter link
 - However, DoD will equip with the chosen link if it is technically feasible and required for/beneficial to operating in the NAS



Preliminary Results - Worksheets

- Received 6 responses to worksheets so far
 - 3 DoD, 2 GA, 1 Carrier
 - Awaiting further responses to complete the assessment
- No single application appears to stand out
 - Significant interest (moderate or higher from at least one respondent) across most applications
 - Different user segments appeared to concentrate priorities on different (sets of) applications
- Most respondents indicated ADS-B as being in their mid ('03-'07) to long-term ('08+) planning
- Equipage rate estimates (across all user segments) ranged from (a high of) 100% own-fleet/near-term to (a low of) 25% in 10 years